04)

Under the Ranerwork Reduction Act of 1995 o	o nersons are required	U.S. Patent and Tr	PTO/SB/21 (09 wed for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 ademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMME Information unless it displays a valid OMB control num
The Marie of Tood, no persons are required to		Application Number	10/517,702-Conf. #4690
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date	August 2, 2005
		First Named Inventor	Koji SODE
		Art Unit	1652
		Examiner Name	M. Y. Meah
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Numb	er 3691-0113PUS1
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund		Response to Unity of Invention Rejection
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)		,
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks		
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			

Signature VF8.54 € Printed name Gerald M. Murphy, Jr. Date Reg. No. May 8, 2006 28,977



Docket No.: 3691-0113PUS1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Koji SODE

Application No.: 10/517,702

Confirmation No.: 4690

Filed: August 2, 2005

Art Unit: 1652

For: GLUCOSE DEHYDROGENASE

Examiner: M. Y. Meah

RESPONSE TO UNITY OF INVENTION REJECTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the outstanding Unity of Invention Rejection dated April 14, 2006, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

This reply includes: Remarks.